## RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

## NOV 15 2005

Group Art Unit 3711

**PATENT** 

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Docket No.: KMC-585

Serial No.: 10/616,123

Applicant: Bradley D. Schweigert et al

Filed: July 8, 2003 Examiner A. Hunter

For: IRON TYPE GOLF CLUB HEAD WITH LOW PROFILE TUNING PORT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

## REPLY TO INTERVIEW SUMMARY

This paper is responsive to the Interview Summary mailed October 11, 2005 in the above-identified patent application.

During the telephonic interview on October 4, 2005, claim 1 was discussed along with the Best reference. Applicant's representative, Darrell Marquette, pointed out that Best does not disclose applicant's "interior wall" as defined in claim 1 of the amendment filed August 9, 2005. In particular, Best does not disclose a golf club head with an interior wall having a height dimension that varies between its ends with the height dimension of the end of the interior wall that is adjacent the toe portion of the club head body being greater than the height dimension of the other end of the interior wall that is adjacent the heel portion of the club head body. In his final rejection, the Examiner has directed applicant's attention to Fig. 1 of Best as disclosing a

golf club head having an interior wall with these limitations but applicant maintains that Fig. 1 of Best clearly does not show such a golf club head. Therefore, Best does not anticipate claim 1.

The Examiner suggested in the Interview Summary that clarity to the orientation of the club head be made and a drawing be produced to show the height relationship between the second and first ends of the interior wall. With reference to Figs. 1, 6 and 7, it should be understood that the club head is oriented so that the sole 30 is resting on a generally horizontal surface. In this orientation of the club head, the longitudinal axis A of the hosel 14 intersects the generally horizontal surface at an angle and the interior wall 46 extends in the direction D (Fig. 9) which is substantially perpendicular to the longitudinal axis A while being substantially parallel to the generally horizontal surface.

Submitted with this paper is a proposed Fig. 11 showing the interior wall 46 as having a greater height dimension at the second end which is adjacent the body toe portion 18 than at the first end which is adjacent the body heel portion 16.

Respectfully submitted,

Date 11-19-04

Darrell F. Marquette Reg. No. 28,560